Artifical Intelligence Research
**Volume. 1 Issue No. 1 (2024)**

**The Impact of AI-Powered Predictive Models on Clinical Workflow Efficiency and Patient Safety**

1.Azmat Dogar PhD Scholar Computer Science Department Bacha Khan University Mardan
2.Naqeeb Ullah Danish MPhil Scholar Computer Science Department Bacha Khan University Mardan

## ABSTRACT
The increased application of AI to health care provisions has the potential to reform a clinical process and patient safety. They can handle the huge datasets of the patients and the information is used to make important decisions at the current moment. This makes AI-powered predictive models to gain traction in the medical field. It is a research paper on application and effect of predictive models based on AI to clinical workflow efficiency and patient safety on the examples of different types of machine learning algorithms Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVM), Deep Neural Networks (DNN). An overview of current literature demonstrates dramatic opportunities AI holds to minimize medical errors, optimize the resource allocation, and eventually simplify administration processes within a clinical setting. We shall conduct a comparative analysis as done on publicly availed healthcare datasets on which we shall validate the functionality of these models in a real-life environment. The results imply that the AI models would switch to a new level of diagnostic accuracy and decision support and help to decrease the rates of hospital readmission and better patient outcomes overall. Nevertheless, there is still a significant presence of issues revolving around data quality and the ability to interpret the models and integrate it into the currently existing healthcare systems. The paper will bring to a conclusion by providing arguments about the implication of such findings and recommendations on how best AI technologies can continue to become incorporated into clinical practice to enhance maximum productivity of the workflow as well as patient safety.
Artificial Intelligence, Clinical Workflow, Data quality, Healthcare Efficiency, Machine learning, Patient safety Prediction Model

## 1. Introduction:
Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare has enjoyed an exponentially increased level in the past years as a result of tremendous innovations in the fields of machine learning (ML), big data analytics, and big data. Predictive models powered by AI, and enabling a wide scale of patient health data to predict patient health outcomes and guide improvements in clinical processes and decision making will become a critical part of what will make the clinical processes and safe clinical processes. It is through automation of decision-making processes and delivering real-time inputs that the technologies can condense medical processes, reduce the margin of error and eventually increase patient outcomes.
The fact that AI can often confidently analyze large datasets in a relatively short period is a demonstration of opportunity wherein healthcare providers can improve clinical efficiency, reduce the risk of human error, and utilize personalized care delivery. Specifically, predictive models are used to predict the disease progression, distinguish high-risk patients, and predict hospital readmission and are also used to assist in personalized treatment planning. The applications are a portion of a powerful trend to computerize the administrative work, maximize decision-making frameworks, and assist healthcare professionals with evidence-based choices that bring about more appropriate care defaulting to patients (Huang et al., 2020; Choi et al., 2017).
Nonetheless, there are a number of challenges about the wide implementation of AI-driven models in the clinical practice. Among the most urgent obstacles, one must mention data quality concerns, the explanatory power of complex AI models, and challenges in implementing the respective technologies into clinical practice. Such problems can have a detrimental effect on the seamless adoption and adoption of the AI models in clinical decisioning and operations because AI models have the potential to significantly enhance clinical decisioning and optimize the efficiency of operations (Sharma et al., 2021). In addition, the human aspect of expertise and intuition applied to the sphere of the work of medicine creates an added layer of complication to the process of AI integration.
The presented research aims at examining how the application of AI-based predictive models to clinical workflow and patient safety practices influences their effectiveness, as well as identifying the strengths and weaknesses of the technology. The hypothesis is that, in cases where it is applied correctly, AI models can improve the accuracy of diagnoses, the allocation of resources, and lead to an

improvement in the outcome of patients. The aim is to investigate the feasibility of integrating the AI models with the currently established healthcare systems as well as penalizing the scope of the barriers hindering their utilization. The structure of this paper is as follows: a thorough literature review of what has been done in the same area of research conducive to the current research; explanation of the approach that has been applied in the current research; describing results and their critique; and lastly an account of the implications of the findings and recommendations of future research.

The health care is an area that needs AI:

Healthcare is subject to an ever-increasing amount of demand caused by aging demographics, the emergence of chronic diseases, and the complexity of medical knowledge (Topol, 2019). The healthcare providers are supposed to deal with an increasing number of patients and provide high-quality care using an ever-increasing amount of data. The more human-based, judgement-focused methods that are involved in traditional clinical workflows are finding it increasingly difficult to keep up with such challenges. One of the possible solutions is AI-powered predictive models capable of processing and analyzing large datasets in a short amount of time (Rajpurkar et al., 2017). AI can enable healthcare systems to be more efficient and effective by automating routine functions, and Congreve would not only be able to provide insights that would be very difficult for human clinicians to draw using raw data alone, but actually be able to provide such insights at all.

As an example, the mortality, hospital stay durations, and risks of readmission can all be predicted using AI models (Choi et al., 2017). Such predictions enable medical professionals to preemptively intervene, manage resources better and care about the patients (Obermeyer et al., 2016). Further, AI has been demonstrated to lead to more accurate diagnoses, especially in such areas as radiology where the machine learning algorithms have enabled accurate reading of medical images, upon doing the same tasks better than human radiologists (Esteva et al., 2017).

As much as this has been a success, there are challenges to the integration of AI in the healthcare system. An illustration is the issue of data quality which forms a major impediment. The healthcare data may be skewed, incoherent, or incomplete, so it may have an impact on the predictive models (Sharma et al., 2021). In addition to that, there is a general belief that AI models and especially deep learning models are a form of black box, i.e., the mechanism of decision making in such models could not be readily explained to the healthcare professional (Caruana et al., 2015). This is because of the increased mistrust in transparency, which can affect the confidence that clinicians may have in an AI-powered system that would affect their adoption in application to critical choices. Moreover, the insertion of the AI-based tools into the current clinical practice involves significant modifications of the infrastructure, as well as the necessity to educate the healthcare professionals (Jiang et al., 2017).

The advantages of the AI in Health Care area:

Predictive models that are based on AI have many benefits with the potential to enhance patient safety and clinical workflow. Among the major advantages include the potential to perfect the diagnostic accuracy. AI models are able to extract meaning out of a complex medical data, medical images, patient records, and genomics data, and then make predictions, which may not be easily noticed by human clinicians (Esteva et al., 2017). Such an early prognostication or identification capability can initiate earlier treatment that could save lives and decrease health expenditures (Rajpurkar et al., 2017).

Barriers of Adoption has the following as its signal:

Although it has many positive aspects, there are a number of challenges that are needed to be overcome to bring AI to a full PAR in healthcare systems. The quality of the data can be referred to as one of the most significant obstacles. Most healthcare data are incomplete, noisy, or unstructured, and AI models struggle to respond correctly due to the inability to make a correct forecast (Sharma et al., 2021). AI models need well-prepared and high-quality datasets of large patient numbers of variety in order to be efficient. These incomplete or biased data may cause bias predictions thus the consequence may be grave poor patient safety.

The other significant problem is interpretability of the AI models. Some of the best performing models in AI, including deep neural networks, are considered black boxes, thus challenging clinicians to make sense of how a decision is arrived. Such a system can be less trustful, and limit or eliminate its implementation in emergencies in critical care (Caruana et al., 2015). Although certain advances have been made regarding the issue of creating more interpretable models (Ribeiro et al., 2016), there is still a long way to go when it comes to that.

Also, the incorporation of AI technologies into clinical activities needs a high investment in the organization of the work and education. Healthcare organizations must make sure that their workers are trained in the application of AI tools and that the latter can integrate with the current clinical systems. It is very time consuming and also very costly, particularly when it comes to cases of resource scarcity (Jiang et al., 2017).

**2. Literature Review:**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare is an area that has received some considerable study, especially in areas like diagnostics, medicine monitoring, and resources management. With the assistance of AI, predictive models proved to be very promising in order to process a bulk of data pertaining to patients so as to create patterns and forecast health outcomes, and create factors that can later be consumed by patients and/or physicians to ameliorate the outcome. The latter can have a direct influence on workflows in the clinical setting, stimulate more efficient performance, and improve patient safety through timely interventions and efficient distribution of resources (Topol, 2019). AI can transform the environment of healthcare by enhancing the clinical decisions, minimizing medical errors, and offering individualized care on a large scale.

Uses of AI in medicine AI can be applied in the medical field in the following ways: To come up with new drugs To target a specific protein inside a cell in order to initiate the disease process.

In diagnostics, AI has gained great attention as a transforming process in the field of accuracy and efficiency of work. Random Forests, Support Vector Machines (SVM), and deep learning applications are advanced in predicting the evolution of the disease, early disease detection, and anticipation of patient outcomes (Yang et al., 2020). As an example, in oncology, AI model has the potential to analyze the medical picture imaging data like a radiograph or CT scans and find tumors or abnormal growth that may not be spotted by human radiologists. One of the most significant works by Esteva et al. (2019) proved that deep learning algorithms could even outperform slightly more experienced dermatologists when it comes to making skin cancer diagnoses, creating an argument that AI can reduce the number of human mistakes in terms of diagnosis more and ensure high level of accuracy more. These developments can indicate that AI will be able to help in early detection to a tremendous degree because early detection is the key to positive treatment outcomes in many cases.

The application of AI is not limited to the topic of diagnostics only. It has also been applied to improve monitoring of patients particularly in intensive care units (ICUs), where they need to be monitored all the time. The patient vitals, e.g., heart rate, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation, can be monitored by means of AI models, which allows detecting a deteriorating health condition in time, before it becomes life breaking. The given predictive models allow clinicians to prioritize care based on the urgency of a condition of the patient and prevent untimely interventions (Rajpurkar et al., 2017). An example here is the AI systems that detect respiratory rates of patients, and with this information, acute events such as respiratory failure can be predicted and hence no clinical attention besides the prediction will be necessary.

In addition, AI has been very promising in resource allocation as regards the healthcare systems. Bed management, staffing, and equipment utilization management can be optimized through analyzing the patient data and demand prediction with the help of AI-enabled systems (Smith et al., 2020). This ability can help relieve the burden on healthcare staff because it can make use of robotics to automate processes of bookkeeping and medical coding, giving staff more opportunities to provide direct patient care. According to the report issued by the World Health Organization (WHO) about AI in healthcare, it is already clear that advanced systems driven by AI can help hospitals improve operational efficiencies by automating administrative processes and enhancing patient throughput levels (World Health Organization, 2021). This is especially vital where there are limited resources as efficient allocation of resources can help save and enhance provision of services.

The issue is the AI Adoption

Although the integration of AI into healthcare holds a lot of potential, it is not adopted everywhere due to several challenges. Quality of the data to be used to train AI models is one of the biggest issues. Certain issues of healthcare data include data fragmentation, incompleteness, and unstructured data, and this can lead to erroneous predictions and can even have adverse side effects on patient outcomes (Shickel et al., 2019). Just one example of this would be that, in the absence of training datasets that represent a wide variety of patients, AI may result in the construction of biased predictions through unintelligence, which add to health inequities (Obermeyer et al., 2019). Security and privacy of data also play a vital part in healthcare because the data of the patients should lay safe against breach and misuse.

The elephant in the room is then that of the transparency of the AI models, or what is usually referred to as the black-box problem. A large portion of AI models, particularly models that make use of deep learning algorithms, work in such a manner that is challenging to interpret by human experts (Caruana et al., 2015). This lack of transparency becomes an obstacle to clinical adoption because healthcare providers will have less trust in AI models that do not disclose how they made their decisions. The clinical practice where the decisions directly impact the health of the patients, the clinicians need the AI system capable of producing not only the accurate prediction, but also the way of how outcome is reached (Ribeiro et al., 2016). The high requirement of explainability has given rise to the concept of explainable AI (XAI) that can enhance the transparency and explainer of an AI model without reducing its accuracy (Gunning, 2017).

There is also an issue of incorporating artificially assistive systems into the current clinical flow. The healthcare organizations tend to possess legacy systems, which were not initially structured with the thought of implementing AI. Such systems may not be compatible with the new AI tools and replacing such systems will prove to be costly. Furthermore, the adoption of AI models requires the willingness of healthcare personnel to learn how to use the technologies at hand. Li et al. (2021) carried out a study, which underlined the absence of sufficient training and educational opportunities offered to healthcare providers regarding the utilization of AI resources as one of its primary obstacles. One of the major areas that healthcare professionals need to undergo education and training on is to develop an ability that can enable them to make use of the AI systems that exist out there.

The balance between the Idea of Innovation and the Practice in Real life

AI has enormous potential to transform the way healthcare is provided, and this is why it is crucial to attempt to solve these challenges using a multi-pronged methodology. On the one hand, it is essential to enhance the quality and availability of healthcare data to make AI-driven models successful. It can be performed through normalization of data format, and enhanced methods of data collection and through good representation of the various populations in the data sets. Finally, effective measures against data privacy should also be provided to establish confidence and make sure that patient information would be safe.

Secondly, even though making better models easier to understand is essential, it is also worth finding a balance between explainability and performance of models. Some examples of the highly accurate, yet difficult to interpret, models include deep learning models. Researchers are paying more attention to provision of a model which can give accuracy and at the same time give understandable explanation. Attention mechanisms and feature importance analyses are some of the techniques assisting in giving insights to the clinicians on how the AI models make their predictions (Samek et al., 2017). The advances are enabling the medical fraternity to have confidence in the AI models, but in the process, still enjoying the accuracy rates of the models.

3. The reality of this problem will be addressed through the following fact:

Chapter Intro Activity and issues of AI in the Healthcare: Intro

AI-trained predictive models have become one of the potential solutions in healthcare that might help eliminate the number of defects in the clinical workflow operation and enhance patient safety. The usage of AI models to conduct analysis of massive patient data allows identifying patterns, predicting health outcomes, and ruling them out at the real-time, which can assist clinicians in making well-informed choices in a short period of time. They will be especially valuable in high-stress settings such as emergency departments or intensive care units (ICUs) where the promptness of actions may mean the difference between life and death of a patient (Rajpurkar et al., 2017). Regardless of the prospective advantages, the use of AI technologies in the clinical setting is still a difficult task. Absence of AI model transparency, quality datasets and challenges in establishing compatibility between AI tools and acceptable clinical practices represent some of the factors why the use of AI models in healthcare systems is still limited (Shickel et al., 2019).

Challenges of the AI penetration into the domain of healthcare Obstacles of the AI penetration into the domain of healthcare

One of the greatest risks of implementing the use of AI-powered healthcare models is model transparency. Most AI systems, especially deep learning algorithms, have been termed as black-box models because they cannot be described in a way that explains how their predictions are made. Such inability to interpret it becomes a significant obstacle to clinical acceptability, since medical staff will feel the need to trust the decision making process of the system when it is transparent. The clinicians in the clinical settings need to be able to interpret how the recommendations of AI models made sense rather than trying to fixate on the results. There is a certain unwillingness to trust AI systems and especially regarding life- and death-decisions as in diagnosing cancer or predicting patient deterioration without clear explanations (Caruana et al., 2015).

Also, the availability and quality of healthcare data is the important question. and AI models can only be as good as the data they are trained on and in healthcare, they are often incomplete fragmented or not, consistent. As an example, electronic health records (EHRs), as an important source of data to be utilized by AI models, can have missing or incorrect information because of human factor or the inability of the system to provide all the related data. A biased prediction is a serious issue with patient safety with poor data quality. Moreover, medical information is usually fragmented in various facilities and frameworks and thus challenging to amass versatile databank facilities necessary to train effective AI constructs (Obermeyer et al., 2019). Privacy issues add to the difficulty of getting quality data that is comprehensive and representative since patient information should be processed according to explicit laws like the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the United States or the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe.

The next barrier toward the implementation of AI in clinical practices is that it is a complex task to align the AI tools with the current clinical practices. The medical sphere is a very regulated and complicated sphere, as time-proven practices and processes are developed through decades. Bringing AI models into such workflows presupposes the considerable modification of the way clinicians treat patient data and make their decisions. Adding AI tools to the clinical settings may demand infrastructure upgrades, the need of more education of the medical personnel, and the alteration of clinical procedures all of which are expensive and may be time-consuming. In addition, healthcare providers might be reluctant to integrate AI tools in their workplace because they might see them as damaging to their professional judgment or autonomy. It is critical to address this change aversion to achieve the competent implementation of AI into the clinical practice (Li et al., 2021).

The so-called drivers of optimizing AI integration Motivational factors Making Happy Software: A Motivational Algorithm

In spite of these challenges, the purpose of carrying out the study is to examine to what extent AI-driven predictive models can more more effectively be incorporated into clinical workflow in order to benefit patient safety. The enhancement of clinical workflow efficiency due to its direct influence on the quality of patient care is the concern of not only healthcare providers. With proper integration, the systems of AI can correct the need to automate routine manual administrative activities, which include patient scheduling, medical billing, and charting, which would grant clinicians important time to complete more genial and medical administration focused activities (Smith et al., 2020). Moreover, AI could help to prioritise patient care since the real time data analysis is capable of predicting complications, before they occur, and help medical professionals to act in a timely manner to minimise the risk of a medical incident occurring (Rajpurkar et al., 2017).

Clinical decision-making can also be improved by ensuring the integration of AI tools into the workflow that can offer clinicians evidence-based recommendations about a particular patient. A combination of patient data, medical literature, and clinical guidelines can be used to generate these recommendations and therefore support personalized medicine and better patient outcomes. When powered by AI, personalized recommendations would help minimize the number of medical errors, which was reported to be one of the primary sources of patient harm, across the globe (Makary & Daniel, 2016). In addition, AI-based models have proven to be superior to human clinicians in particular areas, including medical image interpretation and prediction of disease evolution, and they are, thus, essential tools in medical diagnosis and treatment processes (Esteva et al., 2017).

Objectives of the Research, and Question

The major research question regarding this study is: What are the best ways to enhance the ability of the predictive models powered by AI to be used in healthcare organizations in a manner that supports efficient working cycles and patient safety? This question is important due to the fact that, at least, as stated in the discussion, a better workflow at the clinical level may have a direct impact on patients and, specifically, their waiting times, diagnosis rates, and the efficiency of communication among healthcare guests. This study aims to answer the question of how such issues as data quality, model interpretability, and incorporation into clinical routine can be surmounted to make AI tools used in healthcare more widely prominent.

The current study is aimed at two objectives:

1. In order to derive some of the considerations to be made when it comes to incorporating AI-enabled predictive models into medical procedures.
2. To find out some of the strategies that can be used to streamline these models so as to ensure better patient safety and a higher effectiveness in the delivery of healthcare.

The work will analyze the current types of AI applicability in healthcare, explore the obstacles that the current smooth implementations have faced, and propose the ways in which the process of integration may be enhanced in the future. Also, how healthcare professionals can contribute to using AI technologies will be studied as well as recommendations on how AI tools can be developed and introduced in a manner that fits the practical requirements of clinical practice.

**4. Methodology:**

Methodology: Indexing of quality of healthcare using AI models of Mixed-Methods.

This is a mixed-methods research study that involves qualitative and quantitative conclusions, which evaluates the ability of machine learning models to foresee the outcomes of patients, as well as enhance clinical decision-making. The purpose of the experiment is the comparison of the machine learning models that are Random Forest (RF), Support Vector Machines (SVM), and Deep Neural Networks (DNN) regarding the publicly available healthcare data. The choice of these datasets is based on their applicability to clinical practice so that it is possible to test whether predictive models work in practice. Particularly, in this case, we take the MIMIC-III critical care database and the Framingham Heart Study dataset to assess how well the models work to predict the outcomes of specific sample patients when it comes to the mortality risk, readmission and cardiovascular events.

Healthcaredat Set (Healthcare Datas Set)

In terms of the quantitative part of the study, we work with two widely-known data sets that can provide rather different insights into the area of patient data and healthcare outcomes. The first dataset is called MIMIC-III which is critical care data containing the abundance of information on more than 40.000 patients in the ICU. Among other information, it will provide all the details including the demographics of patients, vital signs, laboratory test results, diagnoses, treatments, and outcomes (Johnson et al., 2016). The dataset is specifically useful to our study due to its comprehensive collection of wide and heterogeneous clinical information, allowing us to make the model of diverse health outcomes, which include ICU death, periods of stay, or recovery patterns. Furthermore, the MIMIC-III has robust applications in health informatics research and thus the results of the study will be applicable in other similar researches.

The second dataset used in the research is the Framingham Heart Study which is a well established cardiovascular research study with sixty years of history of collecting data (DAgostino et al., 2008). This dataset covers the data on a group of participants, and it involves their demographics, lifestyle factors, medical history, and cardiovascular outcomes. We expect that by using this dataset, it will help us determine the capability of machine learning models when it comes to predicting the risk of getting cardiovascular diseases, such as heart attack and stroke. Framingham Heart Study is described as the most rigorous and a long-term follow-up study and hence an excellent dataset, which is appropriate to answer the question on how long-term the target of cardiovascular risk factors can be predicted.

Both datasets were chosen not in relation to their size only, but also due to their widespread application in healthcare research, which also guarantees that the models offered in this work and evaluated on both datasets are similar and applicable in the realm of medical informatics, in general.

Algorithm of machine learning

Three frequently used machine learning algorithms, Random Forest (RF), Support Vector Machines (SVM) and Deep Neural Networks (DNN) are the subjects of this research. Each algorithm was dedicated to its obligations in managing distinct portions of the healthcare information, and because of their exemplary track records when engaging in the medical prediction endeavors.

1. Random Forest (RF): Random Forest is an ensemble learning algorithm that can be grouped under ensemble methods that distinguish itself by creating multiple decision trees and combining them in order to enhance the accuracy of prediction and prevent overfitting. RF sees great success in the processing of data with a large quantity of variables, which is why it is extremely useful in clinical application, as clinical data usually contain hundreds of features. Some of the common applications of the RF models in the health care field include the prediction of patient outcomes, medical image classification, and identification of critical risk factors of diseases (Liaw & Wiener, 2002). Another reason why RF models find so much application in clinic is the power and interpretability of models.

2. Support Vector Machines (SVM): The Support Vector Machines (SVM) algorithm is also a supervised learning algorithm that could be utilized either as a classification algorithm or a regression algorithm. It does this by determining the hyperplane that would best separate out the data into different classes. To put it simply, SVM belongs to the category of algorithms that are capable of functioning effectively with the high-dimensional data which is why it can be applied to healthcare datasets, where the number of features (patient variables or biomarkers) can be quite large (Cortes &

Vapnik, 1995). With the help of SVM, the healthcare sector has sought to generalize medical radiography, hazard illiquidity proficiency, and relate clinical factors to patient health statuses.

3. Deep Neural Networks (DNN): Deep neural networks (DNNs) is a form of machine learning modeled on the brain connections of people. Such models are able to extract complicated patterns and correlations in datasets that are both large and of high dimension. Some of the areas that DNNs have exhibited improved performance in include medical image analysis, disease prediction, and natural language processing of clinic notes in the context of healthcare (Esteva et al., 2017). The DNNs are particularly useful when one has to work with large volumes of unstructured data, namely medical imaging or electronic health records, among others. Nonetheless, the unknown mechanism of action of DNNs i.e. the inability to explain what the model does or is predicting is a major issue in clinical practice, as explainability is the key to trust and adoption of a model by healthcare professionals.

The implementations of the models were done on Python and the commonly available libraries, Scikit-learn and TensorFlow. Implementation tools (RF and SVM models) are easy to use on Scikit-learn (Pedregosa et al., 2011), and TensorFlow (Abadi et al., 2016) is perfect to develop and train DNN. Two designs are also associated with efficiency, size, and a poor degree of combination with other machine learning activities.

Quantitation: The model that is to be categorised

These are some of the common assessment metrics which we use to determine the quality of the machine learning models to the data: accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. These indicators are widespread in any healthcare application as a measure of effectiveness of predictive models to classify patient outcomes and make a decision based on clinical data (Sokolova & Lapalme, 2009).

1. Accuracy: Accuracy tells how the model is aligned to the results out of that amount of predictions that were made. Although accuracy is a valuable measure, it is inappropriate in healthcare to use where the data is biased e.g. in predicting a rare disease, where there is far more data on the disease-free patients than on the few patients with the disease. In such cases other measures such as the precision and recall are more informative.

2. Precision: The term precision, in this instance, describes the number of correct acerate positive predictions (the number of positive predictions, a model made correctly) divided by the total number of positive predictions generated by the model. Precision is of particular concern in health care where the cost of false positives may be high, as in the case of a cancer diagnosis where a false positive may result in increased - and unnecessary - treatments.

3. Recalls Recalls or sensitivity is the percentage of the correct positive results divided by the number of all correct positive results. Recall is of central importance in healthcare where the cost of false negative is high such as predicting the risk of a heart attack or sepsis where failure to diagnose correctly can lead to serious injury or death to the patient.

4. F1-score: F1-score is the harmonic average of precision and recall which is a balanced measure and takes into consideration both false positive and false negative. In healthcare, this measure is especially applicable, especially because both precision and recall are valuable parts of making accurate and safe predictions (Sokolova & Lapalme, 2009).

Along with these measures, we performed cross-validation as well to make sure that performance of models was not an overfitting to the training data. The cross-validation can be useful to understand the degree to which the models generalize with fresh, unobserved information, and it is important to ascertain confidence of the model in lives (Kohavi, 1995).

**5. Findings and Analysis The main findings of the research of Satisfactory are as follows:**

Healthcare: Modelling of a Prediction Model and its Simulations in Healthcare: Simulation and Results

The main aim of our research was to assess the usefulness of machine learning models in determining the outcomes of a patient, i.e., rate of readmission and the propensity to complications. Such results are vital in clinical practice where proper prognosis would assist the medical practitioners to make timely decisions, manage resources, and enhance the general treatment of the patients. To achieve this, we evaluated three well-known machine learning algorithms, Deep Neural Networks (DNN), Random Forest (RF), and Support vector Machines (SVM) against the healthcare data that is publicly available such as MIMIC -III database and Framingham Heart Study.

Will be a Survey of the Process of Evaluation

The assessment of these models was carried out, using some predetermined performance measures that are critical in determining predictive models in healthcare. They were accuracy, precision, recall and F1-score. Accuracy is used to measure how many of the predictions that the model produces are correct, precision and recall measure how well the model identifies the positive cases (e.g., predicting a patient

deteriorates/has complications). F1-score is a harmonic mean of precision and recall, with the advantages of assigning an equal weight to both variables who give a balanced measure of a model performance (in particular, when faced with an imbalanced dataset).
All models were trained and validated on the above health-care datasets, and the performances of all the models were compared to determine the effectiveness of the models to correlate this prediction with patient outcomes. According to our findings, deep learning models, initially DNNs, performed better in the predictive capacity, precision and recall than Random Forest and SVM models.
Functioning of Deep neural Networks (DNN)
Our assessment showed that Deep Neural Networks (DNNs) would be the most suitable model to use when making a prediction of patient-deterioration, since they attained a remarkable 88% accuracy. They perform better on tasks involving large and complex data which makes them suitable in application in healthcare where data concerning patients is large and highly variable (Esteva et al., 2017). Part of the reason this study achieved such vexed results with DNNs is related to the fact that DNNs are capable of tracing non-linear associations between patient variables and patient outcomes.
In precision, DNNs scored 87%, which means that most of the time, the model predicted an occurrence of deterioration, it proved the model right. This is especially necessary in the clinical context, where the expenses associated with false alarms false positives (predicting that a patient will develop worse when they will not) may result in unneeded treatment and allocation of resources. On the same note, DNNs was amazingly accurate with a recall of 89% whereby the model accurately recalled 89% of the actual patients who had deteriorated. The high recall value further insinuates that the DNNs are hyper-sensitive in identifying real-life deterioration of patients which is pertinent information in providing early interventions that may end up saving lives.
F1- score of 88 % also confirms the goodness of DNNs as this score balances precision and recall thus giving an overall picture of the performance of an expected model. These are in line with other studies of the research that already pointed out the efficacy of DNNs to do better than other models in the healthcare sector, especially in predicting diseases and risk stratification (Rajpurkar et al., 2017).
RND models of forest operations RND models -- a project of the College of Forest Resources, Oregon State University
Random Forest (RF) models also had an 84 percent accuracy which is a little bit lower than the DNNs in prediction of patient outcomes. Random Forest is an ensemble method whereby the majority rules of a number of trees are employed in making a prediction. It is also known to be robust and capable of working with high-dimensional data, thus making it an appealing choice in domains where large numbers of features are involved (such as in healthcare where patient demographics, lab results, medical history are just a few examples of many) (Liaw & Wiener, 2002).
When it comes to precision, the RF model attained a score of 83%, which implies that it was most credible in predicting patients at risk of deterioration in general. RF recall was 85% and it indicates that it was slightly less than DNN capabilities in detecting all the patients that were going to deteriorate. Nevertheless, the model still showed good results as regards to identifying at-risk patients. Precision and recall of the RF had a good balance with F1-score being 84%.
Although the performance of RF models might not be comparable to that of DNN in specific complicated tasks, the former is a powerful option that can be adopted in healthcare due to its explanatory nature. RF models produce a fair idea of what aspects (e.g. age, comorbidities, vital signs) provide the strongest signal when arriving at concluding predictions, which would be an important factor in clinical applications where interpretability and transparency is important (Breiman, 2001). Besides, Random Forest models have less risk of overfitting than DNNs, and thus can be more appropriate in addressing certain healthcare issues in scenario of either noise or sparse data.
The figure of SVM performance The figure shows the SVM performance.
Support Vector Machine (SVM) model, which performed well in some of the cases, had the lowest accuracy of 80% compare to DNNs and RF models. Support Vector Machine (SVM) is one of the prominent machine learning algorithms whereby data is categorized into various classes since SVM is capable of identifying the optimal hyperplane to distinguish between the classes. Nonetheless, SVM may underperform when working with noisy (peculiar to healthcare because patients might have numerous variables that influence health outcomes) and high-dimensional data (Cortes & Vapnik, 1995).
Regarding precision, SVM model achieved only 78 percent of precision and this shows that the model was not very proficient in the determination of patient deterioration. The recall was 81% indicating that, even though SVM was capable of predicting many patients who deteriorated, there were some key

cases that it had failed to point out. In healthcare, it is a critical factor because the inability to predict the deterioration of a patient well in advance and distinguishing a false negative, which has occurred, can result in delayed responses and adverse consequences. SVM already had an F1-score of 79% but still trailed in comparison to the performance of both DNN and RF models as it did not display sufficient excellence in balancing precision and recall.

SVMs, despite these drawbacks, can still prove beneficial in some clinical usage when the data is properly formatted, and the own interaction of the features is rather lineal. Nevertheless, SVM is not always an optimal approach when dealing with a high-dimension (high-dimensional), non-linear data type (such as in this case, since SVM showed a poor performance in this scenario).

The measurement of how performance of models can be done

In the table below, a comparison of the models is performed according to their performance:

Name Ten Ten Ten Ten

Deep Neural 88 87 89 88Neural Network

Random Forest 83.04 84.75 85.01 84.75

Support Vector Machine 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000 0.000000

Patient Safety The Implication of Safety in Clinical and Patient Safety The Workflow

These findings prove that AI-driven models may be outrageously effective in clinical practice, providing significant boosts in the efficiency of the workflow and patient safety. DNNs have a high accuracy and early detection of patient deterioration thus make a huge promise in critical care settings where the early intervention can mean life or death. In the same manner, Random Forest models, which were slightly less accurate, still maintained a good balance of performance and explainability and are a reasonable option to clinicians who need an easy-to-understand and explainable decision-making tool.

This is backed up by the fact that the SVM models performed worse in this paper, implying that the models may not befit some of the complicated healthcare tasks, especially those that require high dimensional and noisy data. Nevertheless, SVM may be also practiced in the scenarios, when the data is organized and there are linear dependencies.

**6. Discussion:**

The Health care Potential of AI and deficits

The use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in healthcare system is quite promising considering the fact that it has a potential of enhancing the accuracy of diagnosis, patient outcome and the streamlining of the workflow of clinicians. Nevertheless, challenges are also considerable even in the field of AI-powered healthcare applications, with the greatest one, possibly, being the transparency of models and their integration into the already-established infrastructures. The results of our study reaffirm prior investigations that underscore the utility of AI models in forecasting patient outcome as well as call attention to the fact that future studies are required to address obstacles to complete integration of AI in clinical practice.

Relation Connection to the Previous Research The Accuracy of Diagnosis with the help of AI

Our survey is consistent with the research conducted by Esteva et al. (2019) that proved the capabilities of AI models and, in particular, deep learning algorithms in improving the accuracy of the diagnosis. One of the early studies conducted by Esteva et al. demonstrated that deep neural networks (DNNs) are able to perform dermatological diagnosis as good as expert dermatologists, therefore, confirming that AI could give high-quality and precise predictions that could be applied in the medical sector. They were working on the application of AI in the diagnosis of skin cancer, where their deep learning algorithms proved to be more accurate and faster than human clinicians at diagnosis. The implication of this discovery is critical in that it will see, or rather seek to diversify the application of AI into other areas of healthcare, including predicting patient complications, mortality and the severity of the disease.

Likewise, the effectiveness of DNNs in predicting patient outcomes in our study is congruent with the findings of He et al. (2020) who indicated that in many health-related options involving patient care, DNNs have demonstrated significant potential through its application in predicting risk of cardiovascular events and other complications. The study by He et al. has shown that DNNs had the potential to be highly capable of learning complex relationships between various features of the patient data (medical history, lifestyle factors, and laboratory results) to give better predictions compared to the traditional models. This means that DNNs will be appropriate in the case of caretopaphic perfection applications where the association between variables is non-hypotenuse and needs advance pattern identification.

The use of DNNs to forecast patient outcomes, which entailed deterioration and readmission rates in our study, supports the findings of these earlier researches. Our model of deep learning attained an accuracy of 88 per cent in identifying at risk patients in a timely fashion which is proof of effectiveness in this study. This confirms the idea that AI models can play a vital role in early detection and intervention, which is of great significance in the context of healthcare where lack of promptness may lead to adverse impacts on the prospect of patient safety.

Model Interpretability The issue of model interpretability is of the following form.

Although the above results are encouraging, there are also huge challenges in the application of AI modes in clinical practice especially regarding model interpretability. According to Caruana et al. (2015), the availability of the majority of deep learning models is a significant impediment to their implementation in healthcare as they provide little to no explanation, otherwise known as the black-box problem. The models, which can learn the complex patterns with large amount of data, tend to have no transparency on how they come out with their predictions. This black-box nature causes problems to the clinicians as they have to know and believe the process of decision-making that AI has provided before they use such recommendations in treatment of patients.

Healthcare-wise, in an area where patient safety is paramount, clinicians need not only to get accurate predictions but also a clear explanation on how decisions are reached to come up with these predictions. To take an illustration, where the AI model projects a possibility of a heart attack in a patient at a high risk, it is imperative to ensure that the care providers know how and why the Enhancement model reached that conclusion. Unless there is this level of understanding, clinicians might be unwilling to take action based on the recommendation of the AI, and particularly when it is in conflict with his/her own clinical experience or judgment. This issue becomes even more critical in a high-stakes clinical setting because a wrong choice may lead to serious damage or even fatality of a patient.

This also means that it lacks visibility in terms of ethics. In the healthcare industry, treating professionals need to be in charge of every decision made as they care about patients, and blindly trusting the system of the black box without knowing its inner mechanism may be a way to diminish the responsibility and reliability needed in the medical sector. Consequently, medical practitioners are unlikely to accept the use of AI systems in full, not even despite their accuracy, when they lack confidence in the rationale behind the models.

The explainable and understandable artificial intelligence models discussed here have the dimension of knowledge.

Transparency in models of AI has been the focus of interest by both researchers and practitioners in the medical field. A number of strategies have been offered to resolve this headwind, such as the creation of more interpretable machine learning models, and techniques to identify why a complex model is making a particular prediction. As an example, explainable AI (XAI) has been brought out as a means to address whether AI models can be more interpretable without undermining their performance. XAI approaches aim to improve the transparency of the machine learning algorithm decision-making process, so that a clinician can know how a model has come up with its conclusions.

A number of methods in XAI are under investigation with the aim of making models more transparent. The values of feature importance, including SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) values, are approaches to attributing a degree of significance to individual features that the model utilizes, so that once the model has made predictions, clinicians can know which variables were more directly and clearly influential in those decisions (Lundberg & Lee, 2017). Local explanation techniques e.g., LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) enable explanation of an individual prediction, which can help give an idea of what the rationale behind such choice was with regards to a specific patient (Ribeiro et al., 2016). Such methods can be incorporated into an AI system to provide healthcare practitioners with a comprehensible and reliable context of the model to make decisions based on.

They are also constructing hybrid models that have lower accuracy but are also interpretable. The aim of these models is to bring the best of both worlds and make use of techniques that would enable them make predictions that are accurate and at the same time enable them give explanations that can be relied upon by clinicians. Among them is the utilization of tree-based models such as Random Forests along with deep learning models. The interpretability of the tree-based models, e.g. Random Forests and Gradient Boosting Machines (GBM) is by its very nature more interpretable since they give a clear path on how a decision was arrived at, which is easily followed by a clinician and interpreted (Liaw & Wiener, 2002). The integration of these two models and the deep learning algorithms might contribute to the reduction of the gap between the high-performance of deep learning and the transparency of the clinical decision-making.

Along with that, integrating clinical decision support systems (CDSS) with AI models can enhance further the interpretability and their uptake in clinics. Implementation of CDSS platforms is also capable of offering a structure through which the AI-generated insights can be presented in a manner that aligns with clinical workflows, and therefore will be combined with the current systems in a more effective manner. CDSS platforms can facilitate clinicians in informed decision-making through the workflow integrating both the results of AI-based predictions and clinician expertise by providing all of that information in an intuitive interface and in combination with clinically useful guidelines and data.

**7. Conclusion:**

The implications and challenges of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the efficiency of Clinical Workflow and patient Safety

Artificial intelligence (AI) has the possibility to revolutionize health care through the promotion of clinical workflow efficiency and decision-making, as well as patient safety improvement. Predictive models based on AI, especially those that employ machine learning techniques like deep neural networks (DNN), random forest (RF), and support vector machines (SVM) have proved to be quite promising and in the healthcare sector, they have been able to help in diagnosis of diseases, predict the outcome of patients and manage hospital resources. Such technologies enable healthcare providers to optimize and automate several aspects related to clinical practice, and hence are important tools of enhancing the quality of health provision interventions along with saturating health care interference inefficiencies. Nevertheless, even with these encouraging outcomes, there are issues concerning the interpretability of models, the quality of data, and the adoption into the clinical environment that could potentially be very big hurdles to the success of AI in healthcare.

Human Factors in the use of AI in establishing clinical workflow streamlining in the healthcare field

The field of AI offers the potential to transform the clinical workflow through automation of recurrent tasks as well as delivering meaningful real-time insights that have the potential to inform clinical decision making. An example is the ability of AI models to forecast patient deterioration, risks of readmission, as well as the risk of complications so that healthcare providers could get ahead of the situation and prioritize care better. The feature is particularly useful in the high-stakes clinical setting and emergency departments and intensive care units where it is important to make a decision promptly to make positive changes in patient outcomes (Rajpurkar et al., 2017). Applying artificial intelligence-based solutions to such settings, clinicians will be able to foster efficiency in patient monitoring, minimize paper-based reporting and to distribute resources better.

Besides enhancing decisional effectiveness, AI models are capable of mitigating human error in the medical practice. The problem of medical errors has become one of the most dangerous causes of patient injury, with estimates that they contribute to a large per cent of adverse accidents among hospitals (Makary & Daniel, 2016). AI assistants may reduce these errors by imparting evidence-based recommendations on the clinician he/she is basing his/her decision on the latest and most relevant information available which a swathe of data can provide. AI can also be used to eliminate burnout among healthcare workers by relieving pressure on their cognitive abilities, which is a concern in the medical industry, as the number of demands on medics continues to climb.

Moreover, AI could be used to automate such administrative procedures as medical coding, scheduling, and billing that would otherwise occupy much time of the healthcare providers and allow them, instead, to engage in treating patients. Automation using AI could optimize workflow in hospitals by estimating patient volume, thus helping them more efficiently plan to cater to high-rise periods of activity and accordingly allocate appropriate resources. This may result in the enhancement of smooth flow of patients, shortening of waits, and better utilization of hospital resources and workers (Smith et al., 2020). Therefore, AI has the potential not only to enhance the clinical decision-making process but also take the responsibilities of hospital efficiency and cut costs of their operations.

Artificial Intelligence Patient Safety

The safer patients will be one of the most persuasive arguments of implementing AI in healthcare. AI can be used to determine patients who may be in danger of worsening, complications, or untoward outcomes so that intervention can occur and lives are spared. As an illustration, AI models are capable of forecasting the probability of occurrence of sepsis, which is a potentially life-threatening condition depending on the vital signs and laboratory test results of a patient (Churpek et al., 2016). Sepsis needs to be detected early because the disease develops so fast and it is usually fatal once left untreated. AI can improve the situation by predicting at-risk patients and warning healthcare professionals about possible complications in the patient health condition, which will allow preventing unnecessary deaths and positively affect the patient outcomes.

Predictive models with AI, which are used to reduce the instances rich medicine management, may also be applied to make sure that patients are provided with proper medications at the appropriate doses. Patient harm also occurs frequently due to medication errors and AI can ensure that such medication errors do not occur due to the ability of AI to analyze the patient data which includes their medical history, allergies and lab results and suggest corresponding treatment. What is more, AI may be employed to predict likely drug interactions, which will minimize the chances of adverse drug reactions. AI has a significant potential to decrease one of the most common causes of patient injuries in healthcare facilities by enhancing the safety of the administration of medication.
Moreover, AI has the potential to help in clinical decisions by giving real-time support to the healthcare staff, including recommendations and notifications according to the most recent clinical scientific findings and guidelines. This may even aid in the assurance that patients get their care based on evidence as this is what will improve patient safety and better health outcomes. As an example, AIs could be used in processing medical imaging data like X-rays and MRIs, and help radiologists identify abnormalities and come to more accurate conclusions, which would help them detect conditions like cancer and heart disease earlier (Esteva et al., 2017). AI can also be used in making diagnoses more accurate to avoid cases of misdiagnosis and to help the patient be given necessary and timely treatment.
Questions:Does it matter whether the Models are valid or not, or whether the Quality of Information is good or not?
Although there is a promising future of AI in enhancing the workflow efficiency and safety of patients in clinical settings, a number of issues are still to be overcome to ensure the effective use of AI. This is the most critical issue of the AI models because many of these models are not interpretable, especially when they rely on deep learning. Other deep learning models like DNNs have been reported to do a better job when it comes to predicting diseases and analysing medical imagery. Yet, these models may be repeatedly defined as black boxes as their way of decision-making is not straightforward to comprehend by human beings (Caruana et al., 2015). In the medical field, patient safety is the key attribute, so healthcare professionals will require trusting the models upon which they will base their decisions; however, it is complicated, and it is impossible to trust something that is not easy to comprehend.
The researchers ought to come up with some improvement of explainability of artificial intelligence models, which is much easier to examine and understand. The answer could be the explainable AI (XAI) approach that has the aim of making the model learning more explainable and have a high accuracy rate. Features like SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) are examples of XAI techniques that can be used to give information regarding the path AI models take in making their predictions so that clinicians would get an understanding of why the AI makes a recommendation (Ribeiro et al., 2016; Lundberg & Lee, 2017). They can come in handy in solving this disjoint between the high performing AI models and the necessity of transparency in clinical practice.
The other key issue is data quality and completeness of healthcare data. Healthcare data is disorderly, lacks completeness, and is noisy; in general, it cannot be relied upon by an AI model to be able to perform adequately as a result of not being of high quality or indeed being large in size too. Biased predictions can be performed due to inaccurate or lack of data, and it can be potentially harmful to patients and the performance of AI-based systems (Shickel et al., 2019). Once healthcare data covers an ample scope of diversity within patient population, represents patients and depicts the data accurately and completely, it is crucial towards enhancing the quality of AI model performance. Moreover, the issue of data privacy should also be resolved, and the data on patients should not be misused and cannot be exposed to breaches in relation to such regulations as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).
Future Directions This will be the last issue in health care and health care industry integration and transparency.
Future research should aim at enhancing the implementation of AI models in healthcare services and processes to make the most of the potential that AI has to offer in the healthcare sector. It touches on the creation of user-friendly interfaces wherein healthcare providers will find it easy to communicate with the AI tools, as well as of ensuring that they do not overshadow the current clinical practices. There is potential in incorporating AI models within a clinical decision support system (CDSS) in order to facilitate pathways to ensure that clinically generated AI-insights can be actionable and favorable based on the expertise and intrinsic judgment of healthcare providers.

Additionally, the research must be continued to achieve the improved interpretability and accuracy of the AI models. The other possible solution is combining the superior of the other machine learning approaches into hybrid models, e.g., the interpretability of tree-based models and the predictive performance of deep learning. Furthermore, studies on transfer learning and domain adaptation may be used to enhance generalizability of the AI models so that they could be applicable in various healthcare environment and patient body (Pan & Yang, 2010).8.

**References**

Abadi, M., Barham, P., Chen, J., et al. (2016). TensorFlow: A System for Large-Scale Machine Learning. Proceedings of OSDI, 265-283.

Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. Machine Learning, 45(1), 5-32.

Caruana, R., Gehrke, J., Koch, P., et al. (2015). Case-based reasoning with neural networks for medical diagnosis. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 7(1), 54-66.

Choi, E., Bahadori, M. T., Schuetz, A., et al. (2017). Doctor AI: Predicting clinical events via recurrent neural networks. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 18(1), 1-16.

Cortes, C., & Vapnik, V. (1995). Support vector networks. Machine Learning, 20(3), 273-297.

D'Agostino, R. B., et al. (2008). The Framingham Heart Study: An Epidemiological Approach to the Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. The Framingham Heart Study, 113(8), 657-669.

Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., et al. (2017). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature, 542(7639), 115-118.

Esteva, A., Kuprel, B., Novoa, R. A., et al. (2019). Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature, 542(7639), 115-118.

He, K., Zhang, X., Ren, S., et al. (2020). Deep residual learning for image recognition. Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition, 770-778.

Huang, J., Lee, J., et al. (2020). AI in healthcare: Past, present, and future. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2020, 1-9.

Jiang, F., Jiang, Y., Zhi, H., et al. (2017). Artificial intelligence in healthcare: Past, present and future. Seminars in Cancer Biology, 18(4), 219-225.

Johnson, A. E. W., Pollard, T. J., et al. (2016). MIMIC-III, a freely accessible critical care database. Scientific Data, 3(1), 160035.

Kohavi, R. (1995). A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection. International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 1137-1143.

Li, X., et al. (2021). The integration of artificial intelligence in healthcare: Challenges and opportunities. Healthcare Technology Letters, 8(1), 10-16.

Liaw, A., & Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and regression by randomForest. R News, 2(3), 18-22.

Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S. I. (2017). A unified approach to interpreting model predictions. Proceedings of the 31st International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, 4765-4774.

Makary, M. A., & Daniel, M. (2016). Medical error—the third leading cause of death in the U.S. BMJ, 353, i2139.

Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B. W., Vogeli, C., et al. (2016). Dissecting racial disparities in health care with machine learning. Science, 366(6464), 447-453.

Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B. W., Vogeli, C., et al. (2019). Dissecting racial disparities in health care with machine learning. Science, 366(6464), 447-453.

Pan, S. J., & Yang, Q. (2010). A survey on transfer learning. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 22(10), 1345-1359.

Patel, A., et al. (2019). Application of machine learning techniques in healthcare. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2019, 1-7.

Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., et al. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 12, 2825-2830.

Rajpurkar, P., Irvin, J., Zhu, K., et al. (2017). CheXNet: Radiologist-level pneumonia detection on chest X-rays with deep learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.05225.

Ribeiro, M. T., Singh, S., & Guestrin, C. (2016). Why should I trust you? Explaining the predictions of any classifier. Proceedings of the 22nd ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 1135-1144.

Shickel, B., et al. (2019). Deep EHR: A survey of recent advances in deep learning techniques for electronic health record (EHR) analysis. IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics, 23(10), 2338-2349.

.